



Original Article

Clinical response of edentulous people treated with mini dental implants in nine dental practices

Torsten Mundt✉, Christian Schwahn, Thomas Stark, Reiner Biffar

First published: 17 July 2013

<https://doi.org/10.1111/ger.12066>

Cited by: 19

You do not have access to the full version of this article. View access options below.

Institutional Login >

[Log in](#) with Open Athens, Shibboleth, or your institutional credentials.

Log in to Wiley Online Library >

If you have previously obtained access with your personal account, [Please log in](#).

Purchase Instant Access >

- \$7 48-Hour Access
- \$16.50 Read-only
- \$42 Full Text and PDF Download

[Learn more](#) [Check out](#)

We have detected that third-party cookies are disabled in your web browser so we are not able to authenticate your account. If you have previously purchased this article, please click any of the purchase options above for access options. We recommend that you [enable third-party cookies](#) for the most seamless Checkout experience.

Abstract

Objectives

To analyse implant survival, prosthetic aftercare and quality of life (QoL) after stabilisation of complete dentures with mini-implants.

Background

Many edentulous patients refuse implant therapy due to the expenses and fear of surgery. Studies on minimally invasive and low-cost mini-implants remain rare.

Materials and methods

A total of 133 participating patients from nine private practices were evaluated via patient records, questionnaires and clinical examinations. Complications, maintenance, QoL questions and the German short version of the oral health impact profile (OHIP-G14) were analysed.



Results

After 7 to 61 months, 15 of 336 maxillary implants and 11 of 402 mandibular implants had to be removed. In addition, four mandibular implants experienced fracture. The difference between the 4-year survival rates of 94.3% for the maxilla and 95.7% for the mandible was not statistically significant ($p = 0.581$). All original 144 overdentures remained functional. The prosthetic interventions were typically limited to repairs of acrylic base fractures (about one in five patients), changes of plastic O-rings and relining procedures. The participants showed OHIP-G14 scores (median = 2) that were comparable with those of patients with overdentures retained by conventional implants.

Conclusions

Mini-implant survival was similar to that of regular-diameter implants. Although some prosthetic aftercare was necessary, none of the overdentures had to be replaced. Prospective studies comparing conventional and mini-implants are warranted.

Citing Literature



About Wiley Online Library

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[Cookies](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Help & Support](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Opportunities](#)

[Subscription Agents](#)

[Advertisers & Corporate Partners](#)

[Connect with Wiley](#)

[The Wiley Network](#)

[Wiley Press Room](#)

Copyright © 1999-2019 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved

